## AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWING:

Attached hereto is a marked copy of Fig. 1 wherein the numerals 17, 18 and 19 have been added. The specification has been amended to refer to these added numerals. Also, the lead line on numerals 21a and 23a has been extended to the outer sides of the pleat insert which are connected to the sides of the main pleat (see applicant's specification, page 4, lines 14 to 17). The addition of these extensions to the lead lines conform the drawings to the specification.

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1 to 6 have been cancelled. Claims 7 to 22 have been added.

Claims 7 to 17 are directed to the combination included within a bellows. Claims

18 to 22 are directed to the pleated insert for use in a bellows.

The amendment to the specification, page 4, first full paragraph, includes the subject matter in claim 6 as originally filed. Transferring subject matter from an originally filed claim to the specification does not involve new matter. The amendment to page 4, second full paragraph refers to the newly added numeral 19 designating the bottom region of the pleat insert unit 20.

No new matter has been added by the amendment to the specification and no new matter is included in the new claims.

The subject matter of the new claims is supported by the specification. For example, examples of language used in claim 1 supported in the specification are as follows.

Claim 1	Examples of Support in Specification
main pleat	page 4, line 11
bellows bottom	page 4, line 24
corner transition zone	page 4, lines 4, 5, 12
pleated insert unit	page 4, line 15
vertex	page 4, line 12
plurality of insert pleats	page 4, lines 15, 16
end region	page 4, line 19
converge	page 4, line 18
connected	page 4, line 17

The Examiner indicated that certain features are not shown in the Figures and/or lack support in the specification. Reconsideration is respectfully requested. It is respectfully submitted that all of the features set forth in the claims find support in the specification and are shown in the drawings.

The Examiner's indication that original claims 1 to 6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the 35 USC 112 objections is gratefully acknowledged. Since the claims as originally filed have not been rejected on the cited prior art, it is respectfully submitted that new claims 7 to 22 are likewise patentable over the cited prior art in view of the related subject matter.

Docket No. F-7886 Ser. No. 10/616,322

In view of the above remarks, reconsideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

Applicant respectfully requests a one month extension of time for responding to the Office Action. Please charge the fee of \$110 for the extension of time to Deposit Account No. 10-1250. Please charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

Respectfully submitted,

JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP

By

Frank J. Jordan

Reg. No. 20,456

Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP 122 East 42nd Street New York, New York 10168 (212) 986-2340

FJJ/cj/mg

Encl: copy of marked Fig. 1